

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/809,258	03/25/2004	Klaus Fahrlander	885_001	6909
	25191 7	7590 10/26/2004		EXAMINER	
	BURR & BRO PO BOX 7068	OWN		LEDYNH, BOT L	
	SYRACUSE, NY 13261-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2862	
				DATE MAILED: 10/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/809,258	FAHRLANDER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
-	Bot LeDynh	2862				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become Af	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	-			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.					
	<u> </u>					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 <i>July 2004</i></u> is/ar						
Applicant may not request that any objection		, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the call 11 including the call 11.		The state of the s				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		Bot Ledynh				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Primary Examiner ummary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/809,258

Art Unit: 2862

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claims 3 and 6, the phrase "or the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "or the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by White et al. (5955881). White et al. discloses the same invention as claimed: A path and/or position measuring device comprising a magnet (88, 90, etc.) and a Hall sensor (40, 96, 108) detecting the magnetic field intensity, the magnet and/or the sensor cooperating with a movable element (74, 76), the magnet being formed in such a way that the magnetic field intensity varies along an axis of the magnet, and it being possible for a relative movement between the sensor and the magnet substantially in the direction of

Application/Control Number: 10/809,258 Page 3

Art Unit: 2862

this of the magnet to be brought about by means of the movable element in such a way that the path and/or the position of the movable element can be determined on the basis of the magnetic field intensity detected by the sensor, characterized in that the magnet is fastened to the movable element, ram 92, push rod (76, 74).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White et al. (5955881) in view of Rountos et al. (5850142). White et al. (5955881) discloses substantially the same invention as claimed except for the magnet being polymer bonded magnetic particles produced by injection molding. Rountos et al. discloses that magnets made of polymer bonded magnetic particles minimize cross talk. It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to modify White et al. by using the magnet made of polymer bonded magnetic particles in order to minimize cross talk. Regarding the limitation "injection molding," as long as the prior art product is the same as the claimed product, the process of making the product imparts no patentability to the product.

Claims 8-9 are allowed.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2862

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bot LeDynh whose telephone number is 5712722231. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, N. Le can be reached on 5712722235. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BL/ 2004

Bot LeDynh, JD, PhD, DA Primary Examiner